

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE                           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/533,660                               | 05/05/2005                            | Youichi Arai         | 271812US0PCT        | 1371             |  |
| 22850<br>OBLON, SPIV                     | 7590 08/23/2007<br>AK, MCCLELLAND, M. | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| 1940 DUKE STREET<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |                                       |                      | PAGONAKIS, ANNA     |                  |  |
|  |                                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  | •                                     |                      | 1609                |                  |  |
|  |                                       |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |                                       |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |                                       |                      | 08/23/2007          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

| Office Action Comments   |   | Application                     | No.      | Applicant(s) |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---------------------------------|----------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |   | 10/533,660                      | ·        | ARAI ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner                        |          | Art Unit     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Anna Pagon                      |          | 1609         |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)□  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | <sup>.</sup><br>s action is nor | n-final. |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| ′=   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,—   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                       |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application   | 1.                              | •        |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)[  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)□  | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or  | election requi                  | rement.  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.                             |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | inder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>   |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.                             |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                                 |          | ·            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t(s)  |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application  |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) ☐ Other:  |   |                                 |          |              |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1609

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

### Lack of Unity - Nine Groups of Claims

Group I, claims 1-2, drawn to a blood fluidity improving agent comprising chlorogenic acids, caffeic acid and ferulic acids.

Group II, claims 3-4, drawn to a blood circulation promoter comprising chlorogenic acids, caffeic acids and ferulic acids.

Group III, claims 5-6, drawn to a body-coldness improving agent comprising chlorogenic acids, caffeic acids and ferulic acids.

Group IV, claims 7-8, drawn to a cerebrovascular disease improving agent comprising chlorogenic, caffeic acids and ferulic acids.

Group V, claims 9-16, drawn to a use of chlorogenic acids, caffeic acids and ferulic acids for the manufacture of a blood fluidity-improving agent.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,660

Art Unit: 1609

Group VI, claims 17-18, drawn to a method of improving the blood fluidity comprising administering an effective dose consisting of chlorogenic acids and caffeic acids.

Group VII, claims 19-20, drawn to a method of promoting blood circulation, comprising administering an effective dose consisting of chlorogenic acids and caffeic acids.

Group VIII, claims 21-22, drawn to a method of improving the cerebrovascular disease, comprising administering an effective dose of chlorogenic acids and caffeic acids.

Group IX, claims 23-24, drawn to a method of improving the cerebrovascular disease, comprising administering an effective dose consisting of chlorogenic acids and caffeic acids.

The inventions listed as Group I-IX, do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the reasons stated in the restriction requirement. In addition, a method of treatment and use of chlorgenic, caffeic acids and feculic acids is not novel (See US PGPub 2005/0113333). Therefore, a holding of lack of unity amongst the inventions of Groups I-IX is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election with traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions above are patentably distinct. The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Burden consists not only of specific searching of classes and subclasses, but also of searching multiple databases for foreign references and literature searches. Burden also resides in the examination of independent claim sets for clarity, enablement and double patenting issues. Further, a reference that would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious the other group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application and the restriction for examination purposes as indicated above is deemed proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Inventorship Notice

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i)

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anna Pagonakis whose telephone number is 571-270-3505. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday, 9am to 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AP

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER